+212 391 0525 T-313 P.006/010 F-509

Jun-23-2006 03:48pm From-cooper&dunham

Court Joan Claude Bystryn U.S. Serial No.: 10/046,880 Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Page 5

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action dated February 23, 2006. In the Office Action, the

Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, and 4, all of the claims pending in the application.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination in view of the

following remarks.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a), asserting that

the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill given the

disclosures of Albino and Gupta, for the reasons stated in the previous Office Action.

Briefly, in the prior Office Action, the Examiner asserts that Albino teaches the

characterization of multiple melanoma associated surface antigens derived from

multiple, different cell lines. The Examiner notes that although Albino does not teach

culturing multiple different melanoma cell lines in a serum free media, Gupta teaches

the purification of melanoma associated surface antigens from "spent" serum free

media. The Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to manufacture a polyvalent melanoma vaccine

comprising multiple melanoma associated surface antigens derived from multiple cell

lines cultured in serum free medium.

The Examiner further asserts that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been

motivated to do so because Albino taught that melanoma cell lines produce a diversity

of surface antigens; Gupta taught that melanoma surface antigens are released from

the surface of the melanoma cells, and that these antigens could be purified in media

free of serum proteins. The Examiner further contends that one of skill in the art would

+212 391 0525

T-313 P.007/010 F-509

Jun-23-2006 03:48pm From-cooper&dunham

Piloziii. Jean-Claude Bystryn U.S. Serial No.: 10/046,880 Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Page 6

have been motivated to combine the references because the use of serum free media provided a means to isolate a relatively purified population of melanoma associated surface antigens without contamination from serum proteins found in the culturing media containing serum. The Examiner also asserts that the use of multiple melanoma cell lines, as taught by Albino provides for diversity of melanoma antigens for which to generate a more diverse immune response. The Examiner concludes that one of ordinary skill would have a reasonable expectation of success in doing so because Gupta taught that the purification of melanoma surface antigens from serum free media would provide for an enriched population of surface antigens that was immunogenic.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the foregoing obviousness rejection in view of the claim amendments. Applicant submits that the cited references, whether taken singly or in combination, do not render the claimed invention obvious. Neither of the cited references appears directed to treatment of melanoma; rather Gupta discusses development of a radiolmmunoassay from tumor associated antigen isolated from spent culture medium of a single human melanoma cell line. The article appears to make no mention of use of the antigens as a vaccine, much less how to make and use such a vaccine. Moreover, since Gupta is working with only one cell line, there is no way the Gupta article can be stretched to relate to the claimed vaccine, which involves antigens pooled from multiple different cell lines. Albino discusses antigens taken from multiple cell lines taken different metastases from the same patient, but again Albino seems interested primarily in studying the morphology of these different antigens, and in making comparisons of the similarities and differences of the antigens taken from these different metastases within the same patient. He reports on phenotypic

+212 391 0525 T-313 P.008/010 F-509

Jun-23-2006 03:48pm From-cooper&dunham

U.S. Serial No.: 10/046,880 Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Page 7

differences among the different cell lines, such as growth rate, morphology, pigmentation, and the expression of surface antigens and glycoproteins. Albino likewise makes no mention of the possible use of the antigens that he obtained as a vaccine for the treatment of melanoma, nor does he appear to combine the antigens from the various cell lines to see if that act increases or decreases immune response.

Thus, it appears that the Examiner has taken two references involving melanoma antigens, and used the hindsight provided by the instant application to combine the references and fill in the considerable gaps in their respective discussions of melanoma. In the absence of hindsight, there would be no motivation to combine a reference discussing the morphology of several melanoma cell lines with a reference reporting on the design of a radioimmunoassay based upon antigens taken from a single melanoma cell line. Moreover, even if those references were combined, they would yield no clue concerning how to make or use a melanoma vaccine. Indeed, the references say so little about the immune response provoked by these antigens, that it cannot fairly said they even address the problem of how to treat melanoma, much less how to construct a usable vaccine for treating the disease. As such, applicant submits that the subject obviousness rejection is misplaced, and should be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The Examiner responds that he has carefully considered the foregoing response, but finds it unpersuasive, since the claims are directed to a composition, as opposed to a method of using a composition for the treatment of melanoma. Thus, the Examiner asserts, adding a preamble relating to a newly discovered use does not render claims to the composition, even with the added preamble, patentable.

+212 391 0525 T-313 P.009/010 F-509

Jun-23-2006 03:48pm From-cooper&dunham

-----U.S. Serial No.: 10/046,880 Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Page 8

Applicant maintains that he has isolated and purified a composition comprising pooled antigens shed from melanoma cell lines that have been cultured in serum free media that can be safely used as a vaccine for treating melanoma, and that his claims define an invention patentable over the cited references. Nevertheless, in an effort to advance the prosecution of the present application, applicant has amended his claims to recite a vaccine composition including the immunogenic composition obtained from pooling tumor associated antigens shed by several melanoma cell lines in a diluent such a normal saline, and an adjuvant. The claims as amended amply distinguish the compositions discussed in the cited references, which neither disclose nor suggest the preparation or administration of a vaccine for the treatment of melanoma.

In sum, applicant submits that the claims as amended overcome the foregoing obviousness rejection, respectfully requests that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn. Notice of allowability of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

From-cooper&dunham

U.S. Serial No.: 10/046,880 Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Page 9

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee related to the filing of this response to deposit account no. 03-3125. In addition, if any further extension is required to file or consider this response, applicant hereby requests such extension, and authorizes the fee therefor to be charged to the foregoing deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 23, 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faceimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (571) 273-8300 on the date set forth below.

Robert D. Katz Reg. No. 30,141

Robert D. Katz Reg. No. 30,141

c/o Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

Tel. (212) 278-0400 Fax (212) 391-0525

Email rkatz@cooperdunham.com

Attorney for Applicant(s)